UNCLASSIFIED

Supporting Data FY 2008 Global War on Terror Budget Estimate Submission – February 2007

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARIES OF THE



RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION ARMY APPROPRIATION

Department of the Army
Office of the Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller)

Persuasive in Peace, Invincible in War

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARIES FOR PROGRAM ELEMENTS
OF THE
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND
EVALUATION, ARMY
FY 2008
GLOBAL WAR ON TERROR
BUDGET ESTIMATE SUBMISSION
FEBRUARY 2007

Department of the Army
Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2007 RDT&E Program GWOT Budget Estimate Summary

Summary Recap of Budget Activities

Advanced Technology Development

Operational System Development

System Development and Demonstration

Advanced Component Development and Prototypes

Basic research Applied Research

Management Support

Total RDT&E, Army

February 2007

215,446

Exhibit R-1

Thousands of Dollars
FY 2008

	GWOT	
FY 2008 Base	Request	FY 2008 Total
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
992	30,375	31,367
18,420	73,558	91,978
5,800	20	5,820
48,581	37,700	86,281

141,653

73,793

UNCLASSIFIED Page 1 of 2

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2007 RDT&E Program **GWOT Budget Estimate** Summary

February 2007

Т	ho	NI.	100	nds	. ^	fΓ) \	lai	٠
- 1	110	JU	ısa	HUS	5 U		ノしハ	ıaı	ı,

FY 2009

			GWOT	
Summary Recap of Budg	get Activities	FY 2008 Base	Request	FY 2008 Total
Advanced Co	imponent Development and Prototypes			
0603747A/C08	04 Rapid Equipping Force	992	30,375	31,367
Total: Advan	ced Component Development and Prototypes	992	30,375	31,367
System Deve	lopment and Demonstration			
0604601A/S61	05 Aircrew Integrated Systems Engineering Development	2,542	8,158	10,700
0604642A/E46	05 Applied Vehicle Concepts	0	20,000	20,000
0604741A/149	05 Counter-Rockets, Artillery & Mortar (C-RAM) Development	1,340	38,900	40,240
0604746A/L59	05 Diagnostic/Expert Systems Development	14,538	6,500	21,038
Total: System	n Development and Demonstration	18,420	73,558	91,978
Management	Support			
0605801A/M42	06 ARDEC CMD/CTR Support	5,800	20	5,820
Total: Manag	gement Support	5,800	20	5,820
Operational S	System Development			
0203764A/TW1	07 Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Improvement Program	0	7,500	7,500
0303140A/50B	07 Biometrics	13,330	23,300	36,630
0303150A/C86	07 Army Global Command and Control System	24,836	3,800	28,636
0303158A/714	07 Joint Command and Control - Army	10,415	3,100	13,515
Total: Operat	tional System Development	48,581	37,700	86,281
RDT&E, Army		73,793	141,653	215,446

UNCLASSIFIED Page 2 of 2

Table of Contents - RDT&E

BA	PE	Program Element Title	Page
4 - Ad	vanced Comp	ponent Development and Prototypes	
4	0603747A	Soldier Support and Survivability	3
5 - Sy	stem Develo	opment and Demonstration	
5	0604601A	Infantry Support Weapons	7
5	0604642A	Light Tactical Wheeled Vehicles	. 11
5	0604746A	Automatic Test Equipment Development	. 14
5	0604741A	Air Defense Command, Control and Intel - Eng	. 18
6 - Ma:	nagement Su	apport	
6	0605801A	Programwide Activities	.21
7 - Op	erational S	System Development	
7	0203764A	Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Improvement Program	. 23
7	0303140A	Information Systems Security Program	. 27
7	0303150A	WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System	. 31
7	0303158A	Joint Command and Control - Army	. 35

Alphabetic Listing - RDT&E

Program Element Title	PE	BA	Page
Air Defense Command, Control and Intel - Eng	0604741A	5	. 18
Automatic Test Equipment Development	0604746A	5	. 14
Infantry Support Weapons	0604601A	5	7
Information Systems Security Program	0303140A	7	. 27
Joint Command and Control - Army	0303158A	7	. 35
Light Tactical Wheeled Vehicles	0604642A	5	. 11
Programwide Activities	0605801A	6	. 21
Soldier Support and Survivability	0603747A	4	3
Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Improvement Program	0203764A	7	. 23
WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System	0303150A	7	. 31

A	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit)									February 2007		
BUDGET A 4 - Advar		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603747A - Soldier Support and Survivability							PROJECT C08			
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2006 Actual	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	
C08	RAPID EQUIPPING FORCE			31367							31367	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The US Army Rapid Equipping Force (REF) was established to provide urgently needed state-of-the-art technology to soldiers in the field to meet immediate warfighter needs under operational conditions in the current theaters. The REF Forward Teams in Iraq and Afghanistan work with Combatant Commanders and the soldiers to identify warfighter needs while REF Rear formulates solutions and rapidly delivers/fields new equipment to the deployed units. REF solutions are rapid responses to evolving, adaptable and changing, mostly asymmetric threats, in any operational environment. Projects will be aimed at improving mission mobility/survivability including evaluating concepts and hardware/software that accomplish this with current platforms and possible new platforms and or non-platform (satellites) solutions. The REF was designed to bridge the gap between the lengthy acquisition process and warfighter equipping needs that should not be delayed. Specifically the REF is charged to: EQUIP operational commanders with off-the-shelf (government or commercial) solutions or near term developmental items that can be researched, developed and acquired quickly - ideally within 90 days. INSERT future force technology solutions that engaged and deploying forces require by developing, testing and evaluating key technologies and systems under operational conditions. ASSESS capabilities and advise Army stakeholders of findings that will enable forces to confront an adaptive enemy rapidly.

FY 08 GWOT funding will provide for safety confirmation, capabilities and limitation testing, GOTS/COTS and near term development, and science and technology development, as described below.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 08 Base: The REF was designed to bridge the gap between the lengthy acquisition process and warfighter equipping needs that should not be delayed. Specifically the Rapid Equipping Force is charged to: EQUIP operational commanders with off-the-shelf (government or commercial) solutions or near-term developmental items that can be researched, developed and acquired quickly - ideally, within 90 days. INSERT future force technology solutions that engaged and deploying forces require by developing, testing and evaluating key technologies and systems under operational conditions. ASSESS capabilities and advise Army stakeholders of findings that will enable forces to confront an adaptive enemy rapidly. The REF ensures safety testing of all equipment prior to release to the soldier. REF focuses on the development and testing of systems and mechanisms designed to detect, identify and defeat enemy equipment and actions designed to injure or kill and devices to help protect the warfighter.			992	
FY 08 GWOT: Safety confirmation, capabilities and limitation testing. Asymmetric Warfare Group (AWG) will conduct Combat Evaluation of vehicle modifications that provide increased survivability. Specifically, enhancements to turret systems and use of ballistic blankets to mitigate direct fire and blast effects. Provide RDTE flexibility for emerging research shortfalls to enhance force protection and soldier survivability. Effort will focus on emerging enhancements in body armor.			5000	
FY 08 GWOT: GOTS/COTS and near term developmental items to support Soldiers in OIF/OEF. Funding will cover an array of capabilities supporting our ability to see the enemy (both active and passive systems). The existing technologies and those currently under research and development are instrumental to the AWG's mission, specifically that of AW detection, mitigation, elimination, and defeat. Categories within this line will seek capabilities that include Quick Reaction ISR technology solutions, rapid assessment of COTS as a potential solution to existing capability shortfalls, and next generation optics and counter optics. Enhanced capabilities in Intelligence			17375	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET I'	TEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY - Advanced Component Development and F	PE NUMBER AND TITLE Prototypes 0603747A - Soldier Support and Survivability	PROJECT C08
	Technical Surveillance Equipment, Tagging capabilities, and long range nended for transition to Army forces. Lifecycle costs are included for	
perations and allows for developing countermeasures to mitiga funds allow for the internal evaluation of non standard systems valuation will focus on alternatives to standard systems ranging	RDTE funds to replicate enemy devices encountered during combat te and defeat these threats through TTP and technology development. that provide enhanced lethality over standard Army systems. Internal g from small arms to enhanced explosives. Concepts include rifle fired incement. Derived new/enhanced capabilities will provide basis for	8000
otal		31367

February 2007 ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit) PE NUMBER AND TITLE **BUDGET ACTIVITY PROJECT** 4 - Advanced Component Development and Prototypes | 0603747A - Soldier Support and Survivability **C08** FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 **B. Program Change Summary** Previous President's Budget (FY 2007) Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009) 31367 Total Adjustments 31367 Congressional Program Reductions Congressional Program Rescissions Congressional Increases 30375 Reprogrammings SBIR/STTR Transfer Adjustments to Budget Years 992

Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY 08 - Funds to support REF efforts (+992) and anticipated FY 08 GWOT Congressional increase (+30375).

FY 08 Base 992 FY 08 GWOT 30.375 Total 31.367

C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this item.

D. Acquisition Strategy The REF provides urgently needed, state-of-the-art technology to soldiers in the field to meet immediate requirements. REF Rear evaluates, utilizes or adapts currently available military or civilian items (COTS/GOTS) which typically have not been type classified for Army-wide use but are available and adaptable to the current Combatant Operational Commander's needs. The REF solution is a rapid response to evolving, adaptable and changing asymmetric threats in any operational environment. The REF was designed to bridge the gap between the lengthy acquisition process and warfighter equipping needs that should not be delayed. Specifically the Rapid Equipping Force is charged to: EQUIP operational commanders with off-the-shelf (government or commercial) solutions or near-term developmental items that can be researched, developed and acquired quickly - ideally, within 90 days. INSERT future force technology solutions that engaged and deploying forces require by developing, testing and evaluating key technologies and systems under operational conditions. ASSESS capabilities and advise Army stakeholders of findings that will enable forces to confront an adaptive enemy rapidly.

0603747A Soldier Support and Survivability Page 5 of 39

	&E COST	ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Advanced Component	t Develonme	nt and Prototynes	PE NUM			unnart	and St	ırvivah	ility				PROJEC	Т
I. Product Development	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location						FY 2008		FY 2009 Cost		Cost To	Total	Target Value of
	Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	e	Cost	Contract
GOTS/COTS for Soldier Support								18367					18367	
Science and Tech Development								8000					8000	
Subto	tal:							26367					26367	
II. Support Costs Subto	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date		Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
540.0														
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Mathed &	Performing Activity &		FY 2006				FY 2008					Total	Target
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost			Total Cost	
	Method &		PYs		Award		Award		Award		Award	Complet		Value of
III. Test And Evaluation ATEC- Safety Testing Subto	Method & Type MIPR		PYs		Award		Award	Cost	Award Date		Award	Complet	Cost	Value of Contract
ATEC- Safety Testing	Method & Type MIPR		PYs		Award		Award	Cost 5000	Award Date		Award	Complet	Cost 5000	Value of Contract
ATEC- Safety Testing	Method & Type MIPR		PYs Cost		Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost 5000	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Complet e	5000 5000 Total	Value of Contract
ATEC- Safety Testing Subto	Method & Type MIPR stal: Contract Method & Type	Location Performing Activity &	PYs Cost Total PYs	Cost	Award Date FY 2006 Award	Cost	Award Date FY 2007 Award	5000 5000 FY 2008	Award Date 1Q FY 2008 Award	Cost	Award Date FY 2009 Award	Complet e	5000 5000 Total	Value of Contract Target Value of
ATEC- Safety Testing Subto IV. Management Services	Method & Type MIPR stal: Contract Method & Type	Location Performing Activity &	PYs Cost Total PYs	Cost	Award Date FY 2006 Award	Cost	Award Date FY 2007 Award	5000 5000 FY 2008	Award Date 1Q FY 2008 Award	Cost	Award Date FY 2009 Award	Complet e	5000 5000 Total	Value of Contract Target Value of

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit)										bruary 20)07	
	ET ACTIVITY stem Development and Demonstration		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604601A - Infantry Support Weapons							PROJECT S61		
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2006 Actual	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost	
S61	ACIS ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT			10700							10700	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This project provides System Development programs with improved aviator safety, survivability, and human performance that amplify the warfighting effectiveness and facilitates full-spectrum dominance of the Army aircraft including the AH-64 Apache/Longbow, CH-47 Chinook, UH/HH-60 Blackhawk, Light Utility Helicopter, and Armed Reconnaissance Helicopter. These programs include soldier systems and equipment which are unique and necessary for the sustainment, survivability, and performance of Army aircrews and troops on the future integrated battlefield. The Air Warrior program will provide the aircrew with a systems approach to noise protection, three-dimensional audio and external audio capability, microclimate conditioning, crash and post-crash survivability, concealment and environmental protection, ballistic protection, night vision capability and heads-up display, directed energy eye protection and flame/heat protection. Air Warrior enables the Army Aviation Warfighter to meet the approved Operational Requirements Document mission length of 5.3 hours with aviators in full chemical/biological protective gear. Preplanned block improvements integrating new technologies into the Air Warrior system will continue to enhance and maximize aircrew mission performance, comfort, aircrew station interface, safety, and survivability. These funds also resource improved laser protection against emerging new threat systems and product improvement of existing helmets to improve performance and increased commonality. Maximum advantage will be taken of simulation to reduce program technical risk through early user evaluation and to reduce program design and test cost and schedules.

The FY 2008 GWOT funds are for the Landmark Program. This program is an urgent priority project involving the rapid development and/or procurement/issuing of equipment used to support Personnel Recovery operations and is executed at the direction of the VCSA/Army G3. The program currently consists of two distinct efforts: Guidepost - Personnel Locator Beacons and supporting architecture; Landmark - Blue Force Tracking Identification System.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 08 Base: Continue the integration of preplanned Air Warrior Block 2 and 3 improvements			1191	
FY 08 Base: Aircrew wireless intercom system (AWIS) encryption certification			1351	
FY 08 GWOT: Landmark development and integration with additional platforms			8158	
Total			10700	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITE	M JUSTI	FICA'	TION	(R2 Exhibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration		MBER ANI 601A - I r		upport Weapons	PROJECT S61
	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
3. Program Change Summary					
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)			2562		
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			10700		
otal Adjustments			8138		
Congressional Program Reductions					
ongressional Program Rescissions					
Congressional Increases			8158		
Reprogrammings					
BBIR/STTR Transfer					
Adjustments to Budget Years			-20		
2,542 FY 08 GWOT 3,158 Fotal 0,700					
C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this	s item.				
D. Acquisition Strategy The Landmark program GWOT requirements performance. These efforts are achieved through cost					

ARMY RDT8	E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development a	nd Demons	tration		BER ANI		Suppo	rt Wea _l	pons					PROJEC'	Γ
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost		FY 2009 Cost		Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	Targe Value of Contrac
Base: Air Warrior Development	C-CPFF	Various						2347	1-2Q				2347	
GWOT: Landmark Development	MIPR	Various Government						4700	3Q				4700	
GWOT: Guidepost Development	C-CPFF	Various						500	3Q				500	
Subtot	al:							7547					7547	
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	Cost	Award Date	FY 2009 Cost		Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	Contrac
Base: Matrix Support	MIPR and	Various Government	Cost		Date		Date	38	Date 1-4Q		Date	e	38	
	Project Order													
GWOT: Landmark Matrix Support	MIPR	AMRDEC						350	3Q				350	
GWOT: Landmark Development Support	C-CPFF	Various						1233	3Q				1233	
	MIPR	CERDEC						568	3Q				568	
GWOT: Landmark Development Support														
								2189					2189	
Support								2189					2189	
Support		Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date			FY 2009 Cost		Cost To Complet	Total Cost	Targe
Support Subtot	al: Contract Method &		PYs		Award		Award	FY 2008	Award		Award		Total	Targe Value of Contrac

ARMY RDT	&E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)						Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration				BER ANI 1 A - I n	Suppor	ргојест S61						
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2007 Cost	Cost		FY 2009 Cost		Complet	Total Cost	Targe Value of Contrac
Base: PM Administration	Allotment	Various Government				129	1-4Q				129	
GWOT: Landmark PM Administration	C-FFP	Various				807	3Q				807	
Subt	otal:					936					936	
Project Total	Cost:					10700					10700	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET	TITEM JU	USTIFI	CATIC	N (R2	Exhibit	t)		Fe	bruary 20)07
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration	n		ER AND TITI A - LIGH		CAL WH	IEELED	VEHICL	ES	PROJ E46	
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2006 Actual	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
E46 HMMWV Recap			20000							20000

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: GWOT: Based on VCSA requirement, a new tactical truck is needed immediately because of shortcomings in the HMMWV fleet. This truck will be a replacement for some but not all of the HMMWV fleet based on unit requirements. The requirements for current combat situations include protection from small arms, improvised explosive devices, movement over urban and off road terrain, attachment of heavier weapons systems like CROWS, and data interchange program needs. The HMMWV has been operating at or over its approved combat load, creating a lack of needed mobility, maintenance problems, safety issues, and an inability to meet the needs of the data interchange program.

Supplemental: Since the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle program will not produce a vehicle until 2012, the Army will run a prototype competition during FY2007 with industry using commercial off the shelf (COTS) vehicles that meet some but perhaps not all of the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) requirements. Under a industry/government teaming concept, including manufacturers, vehicle customizers, government engineers and Soldiers, the COTS vehicles will be tested and modified and judged against the JLTV requirements. Modified HMMWVs will not be excluded from this competition. The results of this competition will be used to award a contract quickly and produce vehicles in a timely manner.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 08 GWOT: Combat Truck Development, Testing, & Integration			19000	
FY 08 GWOT: Support Costs (Engineering/Quality/Matrix Support)			1000	
Total			20000	

0604642A LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES Page 11 of 39

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITE	M JUSTI	FICA'	TION	(R2 Ex	hibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration		MBER ANI 642A - L		ACTICA	L WHEELED VEHICLE	PROJECT E46
	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
B. Program Change Summary						
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)						
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			20000			
Total Adjustments			20000			
Congressional Program Reductions						
Congressional Program Rescissions						
Congressional Increases			20000			
Reprogrammings						
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Adjustments to Budget Years						
Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY 08 - anticipated I	FY 08 GWOT	Congressio	nal increase	(+20000).		
FY 08 Base 0 FY 08 GWOT 20,000 FY 08 Total 20,000						
C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this	item.					
D. Acquisition Strategy The Acquisition Strategy is to run a parall of the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) requirements. The used to award a production contract for a limited amount of	ne COTS vehic	les will be	tested and r	nodified ag		

AKWII KDI	&E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY				BER AND									PROJECT	Γ
5 - System Development	and Demons	tration	060464	2A - Ll	GHT T	ΓACTI	CAL W	HEEL	ED VE	HICLI	ES		E46	
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost		1	Total Cost	Targe Value of Contrac
Combat Truck Prototype	C/FP	TACOM, Warren, MI						15000	1Q				15000	
Subt	otal:							15000					15000	
II. Support Costs	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total PYs	FY 2006 Cost		FY 2007 Cost							Total	Target Value of
	Method & Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Complet e	Cost	Contract
Subt														
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost			Total Cost	Targer Value of Contract
Combat Truck Testing	MIPR	ATC, Aberdeen, MD						4000	1Q				4000	
Combat Truck resumg									- ~			1		
Subto	otal:	-						4000	14				4000	
	Contract	Performing Activity &		FY 2006				4000 FY 2008	FY 2008	FY 2009			4000 Total	Targe
Subtraction of Subtra	Contract Method & Type	Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	4000 FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date				Total Cost	Targe Value of Contract
Subtraction IV. Management Services	Contract Method &		PYs		Award		Award	4000 FY 2008	FY 2008 Award	FY 2009	Award	Complet	4000 Total	Value of
Subtraction IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type N/A	Location	PYs		Award		Award	4000 FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009	Award	Complet	Total Cost	Value o
Subtraction IV. Management Services PM Support Costs	Contract Method & Type N/A	Location	PYs		Award		Award	4000 FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009	Award	Complet	Total Cost	Value of

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT		February 2007								
	ET ACTIVITY stem Development and Demonstration	PE NUMBER AND TITLE commonstration PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604746A - Automatic Test Equipment Development									ECT
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2006 Actual	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
L59	DIAGNOST/EXPERT SYS DE			21038							21038

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This project funds development of and system enhancements for the Next Generation Automatic Test System (NGATS). The NGATS, also known as the Base Shop Test Facility (BSTF) (V)6, is a general-purpose automatic test system that will provide test and diagnostic capabilities required to support current and future weapons and combat support systems and will facilitate retirement of aging and obsolete test equipment that is imposing increasing logistics and operations and support cost burdens. This project provides for continuing efforts to upgrade and improve general-purpose automatic test equipment to satisfy test and diagnostic requirements of the Army's new and upgraded weapon systems; development and adaptation of automatic test equipment required to overcome existing deficiencies and voids in organic test and diagnostic capabilities; development and testing of common procedures utilizing existing test program sets and software applications; and market surveys of commercially available test equipment, methods, and procedures to determine applicability to Army requirements. The test and diagnostic systems and procedures developed under this project are essential for ensuring the operational readiness, accuracy, and effectiveness of the Army's warfighting systems. This project also funds the Army's participation in the Agile Rapid Global Combat Support (ARGCS) Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) which is developing a common automatic test systems architecture that will enhance portability of all Services' test program sets and reduce Defense expenditures for test equipment and personnel.

FY 2008 GWOT funds will allow accelerated development of aviation and ground support test and diagnostic capability planned for spirals 2 and 3 to facilitate a faster replacement of obsolete automatic test equipment (ATE) essential to providing support required to maintain operational readiness of critical warfighting weapon systems.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY08 Base: Develop and test a Joint Services compliant, rapidly deployable, general-purpose automatic test system (ATS)			5000	
FY08 Base: Further develop and test system to implement planned spiral development and increase supported weapons platforms			5000	
FY08 Base: Develop and test new hardware and software required to consolidate all ATS support requirements in this single test system			3000	
FY08 Base: Develop and evaluate new software applications to increase Integrated Family of Test Equipment capability			1538	
FY 08 GWOT: Develops and integrates electro-optics testing capability in NGATS to support Apache, Kiowa Warrior and critical ground combat systems.			3000	
FY 08 GWOT: Tests integration of test program sets for vital weapons platforms engaged in ongoing GWOT combat operations.			3500	
Total			21038	

0604746A Automatic Test Equipment Development Page 14 of 39

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITE	M JUSTI	FICA'	TION	(R2 Ex	chibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration		MBER ANI 746A - A		: Test Eq	uipment Development	PROJECT L59
B. Program Change Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)			1472			
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			21038			
Total Adjustments			19566			
Congressional Program Reductions						
Congressional Program Rescissions						
Congressional Increases			6500			
Reprogrammings						
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Adjustments to Budget Years			13066			

Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY 08 - Base funding increased to avoid delays in evolutionary development of the Next Generation Automatic Test System (+13066) and anticipated FY 08 GWOT Congressional increase (+6500).

FY 08 Base 14,538 FY 08 GWOT 6,500 FY 08 Total 21,038

C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this item.

D. Acquisition Strategy This developmental project consists of cooperative in-house and competitive and sole-source contractual actions. When the necessary expertise and capability are available within the Department of Defense, services required for the individual development projects are ordered from the government source; otherwise commercial contracts are used. Equipment required for developmental projects is obtained by contract from the commercial supplier. Developmental efforts for the Next Generation Automatic Test System (NGATS) are being completed under a sole-source contract awarded to the prime contractor for the Integrated Family of Test Equipment off-platform testers. NGATS will follow an evolutionary acquisition strategy using spiral development. The NGATS Increment 1 will replace the Direct Support Electrical Systems Test Set (DSESTS). Increments 2 and 3 will replace the BSTF (V) 3 and BSTF (V) 5 systems respectively.

0604746A Automatic Test Equipment Development Page 15 of 39

ARMY RDT&	E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development a	nd Demons	tration		BER AND		ic Test	Equipr	nent D	evelopn	nent			PROJECT	Γ
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost		FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	
Base: Hardware Development	Various	Various						4299	2-3Q				4299	
Base: Software Development/Verification/Validatio n	Various	Various						8414	2-3Q				8414	
GWOT: Prototype Development	SS/CPFF	Northrop Grumman, Rolling Meadows, IL						369	2Q				369	
GWOT: Prototype Development	SS/CPFF	Northrop Grumman, Rolling Meadows, IL						3192	4Q				3192	
GWOT: Hardware Development	Various	Various						468	2Q				468	
GWOT: Software Development - IFTE	Various	Various						468	2Q				468	
Subtota	al:							17210					17210	
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date			FY 2008 Cost		FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Base: Project Management		Various						525	1-4Q				525	
Base: Other Direct		Various						500	1-4Q				500	
GWOT: Project Management		Various						761	1-4Q				761	
GWOT: Other Direct		Various						271	1-4Q				271	
Subtota	al:							2057					2057	

ARMY RDT	&E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development :	and Demons	tration		BER AND 16A - A 1		ic Test	Equipn	nent De	evelopn	nent			PROJEC L59	Т
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost		FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost		FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Complet	Total Cost	
Base: Operational Testing	Various	Various						800					800	
GWOT: Operational Testing	Various	Various						315	4Q				315	
GWOT: Developmental Testing	Various	Various						656	1Q				656	
Subto	otal:	•						1771					1771	
Subto	Method & Type	Location	PYs Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Complet e	Cost	Value of Contract
Project Total (Cost:							21038					21038	

February 2007 ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit) PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY **PROJECT** 5 - System Development and Demonstration 0604741A - Air Defense Command, Control and Intel - Eng 149 FY 2009 FY 2011 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2010 FY 2012 FY 2013 Cost to Total Cost Estimate COST (In Thousands) Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Complete 149 COUNTER-ROCKETS, ARTILLERY & 48823 48823 MORTAR (C-RAM) DVPMT

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Counter-Rockets, Artillery and Mortar (C-RAM) is a spiral Initiative Non Developmental program initiated by the Army Chief of Staff in response to Iraqi theatre threat and twice validated theater ONS. The primary mission of the C-RAM program is to develop, procure, field and maintain a system that can detect rocket, artillery or mortar launches; warn the defended area with sufficient time for personnel to take cover; intercept rounds in flight, thus preventing damage to ground forces or facilities; and enhance response to and defeat of enemy forces. C-RAM utilizes a system of systems (SoS) approach, and is comprised of a combination of multiservice fielded and non-developmental item (NDI) sensors, command and control (C2) systems and a modified U.S. Navy intercept system, with a low cost commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) warning system and wireless local area network. The system will be fielded to various echelons, fixed or semi-fixed-site, providing them correlated air and ground pictures and linking them to the Army Battle Command System (ABCS) and the Joint Defense Network (JDN), via various forms of communications to provide situational awareness and exchange of timely and accurate information to synchronize and optimize automated Shape, Sense, Warn, Intercept, Respond and Protect decisions.

The fielding of the C-RAM SoS will be accomplished through an incremental fielding approach that is driven by an urgent operational need, theater priorities and emerging capability requirements to provide counter-RAM capability to fielded forces. Increments depend on the readiness of future technologies, value to the operational concept, enemy threat, affordability and integration considerations at the element and SoS level.

Current development efforts include the implementation of improvements and upgrades to C-RAM Increment I and the initial development of Increment II capabilities. C-RAM is transitioning from an IED Task Force Initiative to a Program of Record and is currently in the process of creating a formal acquisition strategy documentation support package. It will be managed as an ACAT I program upon formal designation as a program of record.

FY 2008 GWOT funds will enhance C-RAM Response capability (digital clearance of fires, etc.), initiate consolidation of workstations and initiate improvements in the Shape function, and conduct system integration testing at Yuma Proving Ground (YPG).

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 08 Base: Develop advanced user interface/capabilities			4923	
FY 08 Base: Test/demonstration support for new C-RAM capabilities			5000	
FY 08 GWOT: Enhance C-RAM Response capability (digital clearance of fires, etc.), initiate consolidation of workstations and initiate improvements in the Shape function, and conduct system integration testing at Yuma Proving Ground (YPG).			38900	
Total			48823	

0604741A Air Defense Command, Control and Intel - Eng Page 18 of 39

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITE	EM JUSTI	FICA'	TION	(R2 Ex	khibit)	February 2007		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - System Development and Demonstration	PE NU 0604 '	nand, Control and Intel - En	PROJEC* 149					
3. Program Change Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009				
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)								
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			48823					
Total Adjustments			48823					
Congressional Program Reductions								
Congressional Rescissions								
Congressional Increases			38900					
Reprogrammings								
SBIR/STTR Transfer								
Adjustments to Budget Years			9923					

Change Summary Explanation: FY 2008 - Transition from an IED Task Force Initiative to a Program of Record (+9923) and anticipated FY 08 GWOT Congressional increase (+38900).

FY 08 Base 9,923 FY 08 GWOT 38,900 Total 48,823

C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this item.

D. Acquisition Strategy The C-RAM program is following an evolutionary acquisition strategy for rapid acquisition of mature technology to the user. The approach will deliver capabilities in increments, recognizing up front the need for future improvements. The objective of the strategy is to balance needs and available capability with resources and put a robust capability to engage rockets, artillery, and mortars into the hands of the user quickly. Success will depend on continuous user feedback, consistent definition of capability needs, maturation of technology, and allocation of required resources. To achieve the evolutionary acquisition of C-RAM, the program director will collaborate and coordinate with the user, combat developer, tester, logistician, PEO C3T, and resource provider (e.g., G8). The program will follow the _Spiral Development_ process (per DoDI 5000.2), where the desired capability is identified, but the end-state requirements are not fully known at program initiation. Those end-state C-RAM requirements will be refined through demonstration and risk management. Each fielded increment provides the user with the best possible capability over time. The requirements for future increments depend on feedback from users and technology maturation.

0604741A Air Defense Command, Control and Intel - Eng Page 19 of 39

ARMY RDT	&E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY				BER AND				~		PROJECT				
5 - System Development a	and Demons	tration	060474	11A - A	ir Defe	nse Coi	Intel - Eng 149							
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost		FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost		FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date		Total Cost	
Base: Northrop Grumman	ID/IQ CPFF	Carson, CA						2125	4Q				2125	
Base: Northrop Grumman	CPFF	Carson, CA						6298	2Q				6298	
Base: Program Management	MIPR	Various						1500	2Q				1500	
GWOT: C-RAM Sense, Warn, & Intercept	Various	Various						38900	1Q				38900	
Subto	tal:							48823					48823	
II. Support Costs	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2009	Cost To	Total	Targe
n. bupport costs	Method & Type	Location	PYs Cost	Cost		Cost		Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date		Cost	
Subto	tal:	1												
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost		FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subto														
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost		FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date		Total Cost	
Subto	tal:													
Project Total (oct.							48823					48823	

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET		February 2007						
	FACTIVITY nagement support	PE NUMBER AN 1605801A - P			PROJECT M42				
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	
M42	ARDEC CMD/CTR Support			5820					

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Supports the non-Army Management Headquarters Activity (AMHA) management and administrative functions at the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FT 08 Base: Provide continued operation of management and administrative functions at a level consistent with mission requirements and support needs at ARDEC.			5800	
FY 08 GWOT: Conduct vulnerability reviews and analyzes current and potential domestic/foreign enemy threats. Coordinate and provide threat support to materiel developers and the scientific/technical community. Maintain complete database of current intelligence information for ARDEC and insures all source intelligence is applied and included early in development and throughout lifecycle of Army Technology Objectives (ATO), Advanced Concept Technology Demonstrations (ACTD), Advanced Technology Demonstrations (ATD) and other efforts. Conduct and report pre/post briefings for Iraq deployment initiatives.			20	
Total			5820	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET				(R2 Exhibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 - Management support		MBER ANI		vide Activities	PROJECT M42
o - Management support					14142
B. Program Change Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)			5880		
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			5820		
Total Adjustments			-60		
Congressional Program Reductions			-00		
Congressional Program Rescissions					
Congressional Increases			20		
Reprogrammings			20		
SBIR/STTR Transfer					
Adjustments to Budget Years			-80		
FY 08 GWOT 20 FY 08 Total 5,820					
5,620					

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET	February 2007									
	ACTIVITY rational system development		ER AND TITI A - Tactic	PROJECT TW1							
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2006 Actual	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
TW1	TWV PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM			7500							7500

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The Hardwire initiative is to provide improved vehicle protection at significantly reduced weight compared to existing armor systems, particularly for light tactical vehicles such as the High-Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV) at a cost comparable to traditional steel and fiberglass compositions. The key feature of the Hardwire armor system is a steel wire-polymer composite that provides support to and significantly increases the strength of ceramic and metal layers without incurring the high costs of traditional composite materials. The goals of the program is to transition the armor production paradigm from a boutique, small-throughput operation to one similar to precision, high-throughput automotive manufacturing. By decreasing the weight of the protection system, it can possibly allow for larger cargo capacity, increased passenger weight, etc.

FY 08 GWOT funding in this program will continue the development and testing of the composite material solutions to provide armor vehicle protection at a reduced vehicle weight capability. The main supplemental will allow for additional development and testing.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Performance and responsibilities for the manufacturing technology development of Hardwire armor technology, Phase I.			7500	
Total			7500	

Page 23 of 39

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM J	February 2007					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development		MBER ANI 764A - T		heeled \	Vehicle Improvement Pro	PROJECT gram TW1
	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
B. Program Change Summary					<u> </u>	
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)						
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			7500			
Total Adjustments			7500			
Congressional Program Reductions						
Congressional Rescissions						
Congressional Increases			7500			
Reprogrammings						
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Adjustments to Budget Years						
Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY 08 - Anticipated FY 08 FY 08 Base 0 FY 08 GWOT 7,500 FY 08 Total 7,500	8 GWOT	Congression	onal increas	ee (+7500).		
C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this item.						
D. Acquisition Strategy Not applicable for this item.						

ARMY RDT	&E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system d	evelopment			BER ANI 64A - T		Wheele	ent Pro	PROJECT ent Program TW1						
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	
Product Development	CPIF	Hardwire, Pocomoke, MD						6173	2Q				6173	
Subt	otal:							6173					6173	
Remarks: Effort will integrate Har	dwire Armor into	Army vehicles by develop	ing materi	als and ma	nufacturir	ıg capabil	ities to per	rform vehi	cle integra	ation and s	cale up pr	oduction.		
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date		FY 2008 Award Date			Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	
REF Support	Direct	REF, Ft Belvoir, MD						600					600	
Subt	otal:							600					600	
Remarks: Remarks: Hardwire LLC	C, Pocomoke, MD		_				1	ı		ı		ı		ı
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date		FY 2008 Award Date				Total Cost	
APG, MD	MIPR	APG, MD						727	2Q				727	
Subt	otal:	•						727					727	
Remarks: DARPA-developed Hard technology for reduced weight arm standard for armor - note this is RI	or vehicle protect	ion systems. Coupon char	acterizatio	ı testing, I	Live fire te	st of full	up vehicle	configura	tion, 3,000					
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date		Total Cost	
	Subtotal:													

ARMY RDT&E COST ANA	LYSIS (R3)				Februa	ary 2007				
UDGET ACTIVITY - Operational system development	PE NUN 02037	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0203764A - Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Improvement P								
Project Total Cost:				7500		7500				

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITE	Fe	bruary 20)07							
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development			R AND TITI A - Inforn	PROJECT 50B						
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2006 Actual	FY 2007 Estimate	FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
50B BIOMETRICS			36630							36630

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Secretary of the Army (SA) is the Executive Agent for the DoD Biometrics (automated methods of human recognition) Program. The DoD Biometrics program consists of the DoD Biometric Management Office (BMO), DoD Biometric Fusion Center (BFC), and Product Director (PD)-Biometrics, supports biometric research, testing, evaluation, and related activities. The BMO provides oversight, guidance, policy and standards support. The BFC provides technical expertise, early assessment of biometric capabilities, as well as industry and academia interface. PD-Biometrics provides acquisition support, repository management, DoD Automated Biometric Identification Support (ABIS) operations and maintenance, lifecycle management, and material development. The DoD Biometric program focuses on an enterprise approach, emphasizing interoperability and utilizing tested biometric technologies for incorporation into DoD business processes.

FY 2008 GWOT funds will move the biometrics program towards an enterprise solution, providing a multi-modal biometric capability to include local watch list synchronization, secure web portal access, and service-oriented architecture. This would also include:

Developing a common biometrically enabled watch list for DoD. Entailing both the technical means to implement the watch list and the organizational challenges of a common product.

Developing the technical solution to address the untethered operation of biometric collection devices. This "last tactical mile" provides the ability to give the operator immediate feedback on the status of a biometric request.

Identifying the shortfalls in current communications architecture to increase the efficiency and speed of biometric match results. The authoritative data source is the heart of the biometric process. The connections, protocols, and technology needed to provide a result in less than one minute will be defined within this effort.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 2008 Base: Conduct test and evaluation of biometric commercial hardware and software to determine suitability for use within DoD. Conduct modeling and simulation efforts to support operational evaluation. Conduct DoD-wide working groups to synthesize enterprise biometric requirements and abilities into biometrics technology demonstrations and pilot activities. Support biometric integration in existing command and control and MIS systems.			13330	
FY 2008 GWOT: Develop a common biometrically enabled watch list for DoD.			11000	
FY 2008 GWOT: Develop untethered operation of biometric collection devices.			9300	
FY 2008 GWOT: Identify the shortfalls in current communications architecture to increase the efficiency and speed of biometric match results.			3000	
Total			36630	

BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development	PE NU. 0303 1	ns Security Program	PROJECT 50B			
B. Program Change Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)			14978			
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			36630			
Total Adjustments			21652			
Congressional Program Reductions						
Congressional Program Rescissions						
Congressional Increases			23300			
Reprogrammings						
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Adjustments to Budget Years			-1648			

FY 08 Base 13,330 FY 08 GWOT 23,300 Total 36,630

C. Other Program Funding Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	To Compl	Total Cost
TA0600 - Information Systems Security Program			3006						1	3006
432144 - Operations and Maintenance Army			11977							11977

D. Acquisition Strategy The objective of this project is to develop the DoD Automated Biometrics Identification System (ABIS) and biometric capability that will be managed at the enterprise level. ABIS currently provides a biometric matching capability that can identify national security threats in support of the Global War on Terrorism for a variety of functions. Primary focus for FY06 was to establish the biometrics program of record and develop a framework for leveraging technologies and processes to facilitate better sharing of biometric data on persons of interest collected and forwarded to other DoD agencies and to develop a biometric implementation strategy for Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD)-12. The program will also continue to support the testing and evaluation of products and other analysis and evaluation of applicable technologies

0303140A Information Systems Security Program Page 28 of 39

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM J	IUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0303140A - Information Systems Security Program	PROJECT 50B
deployment of biometric devices and systems used for biometric data	se approach. FY07 and beyond will continue to support technology, pilot to a collection and processing, physical access, logical access, identity proofing ted at PEO EIS to ensure that biometric activities continue to serve the Dol	ng, intelligence exploitation, and

ARMY RDT	&E COST	Γ ANALYSIS	(R3)								Feb	ruary 2	007	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system de	evelopment		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0303140A - Information Systems Security Programmer							PROJECT 50B				
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Base: Enterprise Development	Various	Various						13330					13330	
GWOT: Enterprise Development	Various	Various						23300	1-4Q				23300	
Subto	otal:							36630					36630	
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost		FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subto	otal:													
Subto	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
	Contract Method & Type		PYs		Award		Award		Award		Award	Complet		Value o
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type		PYs Cost		Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award	Cost	Award Date	Complet e		Value o
III. Test And Evaluation Subto	Contract Method & Type otal: Contract Method & Type	Location Performing Activity &	PYs Cost Total PYs	Cost	Award Date FY 2006 Award	Cost	Award Date FY 2007 Award	Cost	Award Date FY 2008 Award	Cost	Award Date	Complet e Cost To Complet	Cost	Value of Contract Target Value of Contract

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	гем ј	J STIFI	CATIO	N (R2	Exhibit	t)		Fe	bruary 20	007
	TACTIVITY erational system development		ER AND TITI A - WWM		bal Comi	nand and	Control	System	PROJECT C86		
	COST (In Thousands) FY 2006 Actual			FY 2008 Estimate	FY 2009 Estimate	FY 2010 Estimate	FY 2011 Estimate	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
C86	ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM			28636							28636

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Global Command and Control System-Army (GCCS-A): This project is the Army component system that directly supports the implementation of the Global Command and Control System-Joint (GCCS-J). GCCS-A provides automated command and control tools for Army Strategic and Operational Theater Commanders to enhance warfighter capabilities throughout the spectrum of conflict during joint and combined operations in support of the National Command Authority (NCA). The GCCS-A developed software systems will dramatically improve the Army's ability to analyze courses of action; develop and manage Army Forces; and ensure feasibility of war plans. GCCS-A will provide a client-server layered architecture and functional best-of-breed software applications to develop a totally integrated component of the Global Command and Control System-Joint (GCCS-J).

Justification for FY08 GWOT Dollars:

Supplemental funding will support the Army's efforts to implement Oracle database applications ensuring continued interoperability between Global Command and Control System - Joint (GCCS-J) and Global Command and Control System - Army (GCCS-A). Interoperability between GCCS-J and GCCS-A is critical in providing Situational Awareness to OIF/OEF units and Commanders. Lack of interoperability will break the interface with GCCS-J and OIF/OEF deployed units, and Commands will not be provided with Situational Awareness received from the joint level nor will joint commanders receive critical data needed from GCCS-A to lead OIF/OEF operations.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 08 Base: Perform Systems Engineering			1798	
FY 08 Base: Software Development			19922	
FY 08 Base: Perform Data Engineering			526	
FY 08 Base: Conduct Test and Evaluation			1000	
FY 08 Base: Perform Program Support and Management Efforts			1590	
FY 08 GWOT: Perform Systems Engineering			1140	
FY 08 GWOT: Software Development			2660	
Total			28636	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET I	TEM JUST	FICA'	TION	(R2 Ex	khibit)	February 2007
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development	PE NU 0303	PROJECT C86				
B. Program Change Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009		
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)			38387			
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			28636			
Total Adjustments			-9751			
Congressional Program Reductions						
Congressional Rescissions						
Congressional Increases			3800			
Reprogrammings						
SBIR/STTR Transfer						
Adjustments to Budget Years			-13551			

Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY 08 - Anticipated FY 08 supplemental Congressional increase (+3800)/Funds realigned to higher priority Army requirements (-13551).

FY 08 Base

24,836

FY 08 GWOT

3,800

FY 08 Total

28,636

C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this item.

D. Acquisition Strategy The GCCS-A Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) dated 28 May 2002 directed development of a Block Implementation Plan (BIP), which identifies the Block 4-Operational requirements that will be developed from the GCCS-A unblocked 16 November 2000 Operational Requirement Document (ORD). GCCS-A Strategic Block 4 and the Operational Block 4 will coincide with the GCCS-J Blocks 4 and 5 [which begins the transition to Global Information Grid (GIG) Enterprise Services (GES)] Common Operating Environment (COE) 4.X, and Army Battle Command System (ABCS) 6.4 (Army Software Block 1). The next major block for GCCS-A will be Block 1 of Joint Command and Control (JC2). GCCS-A utilizes Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) and Government-Off-The-Shelf (GOTS) software products, in addition to developed software. Common Hardware (HW) platforms will be used within the Army to implement GCCS-A/GCCS-J, and include products from the Army's Common Hardware/Software-2 (CHS-2) contract. GCCS-A Block 4-Operational will be the next release and will coincide with GCCS-J Block 4.x, COE 4.7, and ABCS 6.4. GCCS-A Block 4 will coincide with GCCS-J Block V and Net-Centric Enterprise Services (NCES) Block I/II. Follow-on development of GCCS-A 4.1 and 4.2 releases maintains concurrency with GCCS-J and begins implementation of NET-CENTRIC Web Based services.

ARMY RDT	&E COST	ΓANALYSIS	(R3)							February 2007					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system de	evelopment		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0303150A - WWMCCS/Global Command and Control S									PROJECT C86			
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost		FY 2007 Cost			FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost		Cost To Complet e	Total Cost		
Base: Software Development	HYBRID	Lockheed Martin Corp, Springfield, VA						5899	1-2Q				5899		
Base: Software Development	HYBRID	Follow-on Contract TBD						11335	1-2Q				11335		
Base: Matrix	MIPR	CECOM, NJ & Ft Belvoir, VA						203	1-2Q				203		
Base: Technical Management	In House	PM Battle Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ						2485	1-4Q				2485		
Base: System Engineering	MIPR	Various						1798	2-4Q				1798		
GWOT: Software Development	HYBRID	Lockheed Martin Corp, Springfield, VA						2470	2-3Q				2470		
GWOT: Technical Management	In House	PM Battle Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ						190	1-4Q				190		
GWOT: System Engineering	MIPR	Lockheed Martin, Springfield, VA						1140	2-4Q				1140		
Subto	tal:							25520					25520		
II. Support Costs	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award	FY 2009 Cost		Cost To Complet	Total Cost		
	Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date		Date	Cost	Date	e	Cost	Contract	
Base: Support Contractors								526	2Q				526		
	tal:							526					526		

BUDGET ACTIVITY PENUMBER AND TITLE TOUR PROJECT COperational system development PROJECT COperational system development Project Total Cost: Performing Activity & Cost Project Total Cost: Performing Activity & Cost Project Total Cost: Proj				(R3)								Feb	·		
Method & Location PYs Cost Award Date Cost Date Date Cost Date Date Cost Date Date Cost Date Cost Date D	7 - Operational system deve	elopment					CS/Glo	bal Coi	mmand	and C	ontrol S	System			Γ
Base: Government MIPR Various	III. Test And Evaluation	Method &		PYs		Award		Award		Award		Award	Complet		Value o
Subtotal: IV. Management Services Contract Method & Location Type PM Ground Combat Command & Control, NJ NJ PM Ground Combat Command & Control, NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ NJ	Base: Government		Various						600	2Q				600	
IV. Management Services Contract Method & Location Type Base: Program Office Management Subtotal: Contract Method & Control, NJ Performing Activity & Total FY 2006 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2007 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2009 Cost To Total Target Cost Award Cost Award Cost Date Cost Date FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2009 Cost To Total Target Cost Award Complet Cost Post Post Post Post Post Post Post P	Base: ATEC	MIPR	Various						400	1Q				400	
Method & Location PYs Cost Award Date Cost Award Date Date Date Cost Award Complet Cost Value of Contract Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Date Cost Date Complet Date Cost Contract Date Cost Dat	Subtotal	:							1000					1000	
Method & Location PYs Cost Award Cost Award Date Date Date Date Cost Award Complet Cost Contract Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Dat	IV Management Services	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	EV 2006	EV 2006	EV 2007	EV 2007	EV 2008	EV 2008	EV 2000	EV 2000	Cost To	Total	Torge
Command & Control, NJ Subtotal: 1590 1590	1 V. Management Services	Method &		PYs		Award		Award		Award		Award	Complet		Value o
	Base: Program Office Management I	In-House	Command & Control,						1590	1-4Q				1590	
	Subtotal	:							1590					1590	
	Project Total Cos	st:							28636					28636	

February 2007 ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R2 Exhibit) PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY **PROJECT** 7 - Operational system development 0303158A - Joint Command and Control - Army 714 FY 2009 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 Cost to Total Cost COST (In Thousands) Estimate Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Complete 714 JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL - ARMY 13515 13515

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The Joint Requirements Oversight Council Memorandum 163-03 (JROCM 163-03 established a need for, and directed evolving the current Global Command and Control System (GCCS) Family of Systems into a single joint command and control (C2) architecture and capabilities-based implementation. This implementation will be based on Global Information Grid (GIG) Enterprise Services (GES) and consists of joint mission capability packages. The Net-Enabled Command Capability was formerly known as Joint Command and Control (JC2) and will provide a net-centric transformation of the Joint Force Commander's current C2 capabilities via a top-driven, capability-based approach that emphasizes jointness and is inclusive of our coalition partners.

FY 2008 GWOT funding will support the Army's development of Phase IV of the Defense Readiness Reporting System -Army (DRRS-A).

Note: The GWOT funding request reflected in this exhibit was inadvertently placed in this funding line and it will be corrected and appropriately placed in the Global Command and Control System - Army (373150) funding line for this effort. Phase IV requires additional development efforts for DRRS-A to maintain interoperability with DRRS once that new version is fielded in FY07. DRRS-A provides the critical unit readiness reporting capability that supports the Army's ability to plan and project forces into deployment rotation cycles. Phase IV adds key enhancements for security, medical information, installations, and expands the capability to plan forces and materiels in support of OIF/OEF.

Accomplishments/Planned Program:	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
FY 08 Base: System Engineering			579	
FY 08 Base: Software Development			5662	
FY 08 Base: Data Engineering			848	
FY 08 Base: Test and Evaluation			745	
FY 08 Base: Program Management			2581	
FY 08 GWOT: Software Development			1550	
FY 08 GWOT: Systems Engineering and Integration			1550	
Total			13515	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET I	TEM JUSTI	FICA'	TION	(R2 Ex	hibit)	February 2007		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0303158A - Joint Command and Control - Army						
B. Program Change Summary	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009				
Previous President's Budget (FY 2007)			3958					
Current BES/President's Budget (FY 2008/2009)			13515					
Total Adjustments			9557					
Congressional program reductions								
Congressional rescissions								
Congressional increases			3100					
Reprogrammings				_				
SBIR/STTR Transfer								
Adjustments to Budget Years			6457					

Change Summary Explanation: Funding: FY 08 - Base funds increase to support NECC development, integration and test (+6457) and anticipated FY 08 GWOT Congressional increase (+3100).

FY 08 Base 10,415 FY 08 GWOT 3,100 FY 08 Total 13,515

C. Other Program Funding Summary Not applicable for this item.

D. Acquisition Strategy Net-Enabled Command Capability (NECC) was formerly known as Joint Command and Control (JC2). Formal analysis was initiated to refine the NECC concept. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (ASD) approved NECC for entry into the Concept Refinement phase. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (ASD) directed the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD), C3, Space, and IT Programs to initiate and lead the completion of a successful NECC Capability Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) conducted in accordance with the approved guidance.

The Analysis of Alternative (AoA) will be completed in two parts: Part I is the Capabilities Refinement Analysis, and Part II the Cost Effectiveness Analysis. During Phase I, the capabilities were refined to frame alternative implementations for Part II. These alternatives have been presented by National Information Infrastructure (NII), and were accepted for approval. The capabilities recommended to move forward for Part II are Situational Awareness, Force Projection and Force Mobilization. The cost effectiveness analysis satisfied the requirement to complete an Economic Analysis at Milestone A, which occurred in 2QFY06.

Page 36 of 39

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET I	February 2007				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0303158A - Joint Command and Control - Army	PROJECT 714			

During the NECC Technology Development Phase, required acquisition documentation for milestone decisions will be prepared and/or updated, as necessary; the system architecture and technical baseline will be further defined, including test strategy development and lifecycle management considerations; collaboration/coordination will occur with Joint Forces Command (JFCOM), Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) and other organizations, as appropriate, to refine and finalize the Capability Development Document (CDD) to assure an achievable requirement; and in accordance with the Clinger/Cohen Act, an Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) will be performed with a formal update provided, as required.

Page 37 of 39

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R3)										February 2007					
				BER AND		mmand	ргојест 714								
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost	FY 2009 Award Date	Cost To Complet e	Total Cost		
Base: System Engineering	Time and Materiels	Mitre, McLean, VA						579	1Q				579		
Base: Software Development	Government Matrix	C2D, Ft Monmouth, NJ						5662	1-4Q				5662		
GWOT: Software Development	MIPR (Time and Materials)	Gestalt, King of Prussia, PA						1550	2-3Q				1550		
GWOT: Systems Engineering and Integration	MIPR (Time and Materials)	Gestalt, King of Prussia, PA						695	2-3Q				695		
GWOT: Systems Engineering and Integration	In-House	PM, Battle Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ						315	1-2Q				315		
GWOT: Systems Engineering and Integration	HYBRID	Lockheed Martin, Springfield, VA						540	2-3Q				540		
Subtotal:							9341					9341			
II. Support Costs	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 2006	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2009		Total	Target	
	Method & Type	Location	PYs Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Complet e	Cost	Value of Contract	
Base: Data Engineering	Time and Materiels	Booz, Allen, Hamilton, Eatontown, NJ						848	2Q				848		
Subtotal:							848					848			
III. Test And Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2006 Cost	FY 2006 Award Date	FY 2007 Cost	FY 2007 Award Date	FY 2008 Cost	FY 2008 Award Date	FY 2009 Cost			Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
Base: Government (AEC)		Aberdeen Proving Ground, Aberdeen, MD						745	2Q				745		
Subtotal:							745					745			

Page 38 of 39

Exhibit R-3 ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R3)								February 2007					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development				iber ani 5 8A - J o		mmand	PROJECT 714						
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost			FY 2007 Cost	Cost	FY 2008 Award Date		FY 2009 Award Date	Complet	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Base: Program Management	Time and Materiels	Various					2581	1-2Q				2581	
Subto	otal:						2581					2581	
Project Total Cost:						13515					13515		